



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,307	04/06/2001	Tadahiro Ohmi	P 280043 EL00026CDC	4153

909 7590 10/13/2005

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP
P.O. BOX 10500
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1763

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/827,307	Applicant(s) OHMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Luz L. Alejandro	Art Unit 1763	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

500

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohmi et al., U.S. Patent 6,719,875.

Ohmi et al. shows the invention as claimed including a plasma processing apparatus comprising: a first electrode 102; a first power source 104 operably coupled to the first electrode; a substrate configured to be subjected to a plasma, the substrate being positioned on the first electrode; a magnetic field generator 110 configured to apply a static magnetic field to a surface of the substrate to which the plasma process is applied; a second power source 109; and a disk-shaped auxiliary electrode 107 provided on an outer periphery of said first electrode to excite the plasma in a vicinity of the auxiliary electrode, the auxiliary electrode having substantially planar front and back surfaces, wherein the auxiliary electrode extends substantially parallel to a surface of the first electrode, and wherein the auxiliary electrode is operably connected to the second power source, and wherein said first electrode and said auxiliary electrode are supplied with radio frequency signals having different phases to establish a flow of

Art Unit: 1763

electrons substantially parallel to the front surface of said auxiliary electrode and substantially parallel to the back surface thereof (see figs. 1-17 and their descriptions). Furthermore, note that the front surface of the auxiliary electrode is covered with an insulating material and the back surface is not covered with an insulating material (see col. 7-lines 46-53).

Concerning claims 3 and 11, note that the substrate has a surface positioned at a level substantially equal to a level of the front surface of the auxiliary electrode.

Regarding claim 4, note that the magnetic field generator comprises a dipole ring magnet (see col. 7-lines 51-53).

With respect to claim 5, note that the first electrode is supplied with a first radio frequency and said auxiliary electrode is supplied with a second radio frequency and wherein the first and second radio frequencies are equal to each other and have different phases thereof.

Moreover, note concerning claim 6, that the first electrode is supplied with a first radio frequency and said auxiliary electrode is supplied with a second radio frequency and said second radio frequency is higher than said first radio frequency.

Regarding claim 7, note that the process performed with the apparatus includes: applying a static magnetic field to a surface of the substrate; exciting plasma on a back surface of the auxiliary electrode; and supplying radio frequency signals with different phases to the first and auxiliary electrode, thereby creating a difference in plasma density between a front surface of the auxiliary electrode and a back surface of the auxiliary electrode to cause electrons in the plasma to drift from the front surface of said

Art Unit: 1763

auxiliary electrode to the back surface thereof and from the back surface of said auxiliary electrode to the front surface thereof, and to cause the electrons in the plasma to circulate substantially parallel to the front surface of the auxiliary electrode and substantially parallel to the back surface thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shan et al., U.S. Patent 6,232,236 in view of Ohmi et al., WO 98/39500 and further in view of Ohmi et al., U.S. Patent 6,719,875 or JP 2000-40695 (foreign equivalent).

Shan et al. shows the invention as claimed including a plasma processing apparatus comprising: a first electrode 215 on which a substrate 164 subjected to a plasma process is placed and a first power source 240 operably connected to the first electrode; a magnetic field applying means 270 for applying a magnetic field to a surface of the substrate to which the plasma process is applied; an auxiliary electrode 220 provided on an outer periphery of said first electrode and connected to a second power source 242 to excite plasma in the vicinity of the auxiliary electrode, the radio frequency signals having different phases (see Fig. 2 and col. 3-line 30 to col. 5-line 10).

Shan et al. does not expressly disclose a plasma processing method including applying a static magnetic field. Ohmi et al. discloses applying a static magnetic field for achieving uniform processing results while allowing for a miniaturized apparatus (see abstract and paragraph bridging pages 1 and 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Shan et al., as to as apply a static magnetic field in order to achieve uniform processing results while allowing for a miniaturized apparatus.

Both Shan et al. and Ohmi et al. '500 do not expressly disclose the auxiliary electrode having a front surface covered by the insulating material and a back surface not covered by the insulating material. Ohmi et al. '875 and '695 disclose disclose the auxiliary electrode having a front surface covered by the insulating material and a back surface not covered by the insulating material (see col. 7-lines 46-53). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Shan et al. modified by Ohmi et al. '500

Art Unit: 1763

so as to include the claimed auxiliary electrode structure because this allows for adequate protection of the electrode.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,719,875. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because, for example, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have an auxiliary electrode having substantially planar front and back surfaces because the particular configuration of the claimed auxiliary electrode is a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant (see *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/03/05 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

With respect to the rejections under 35 USC 102 using Ohmi '875, the examiner points out that Ohmi states that the insulating material is provided "on" the electrode which is defined as above and in contact with, and therefore reading on the claimed limitations.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claim 7 over Shan et al. in view of Ohmi et al. have been considered but are not persuasive. With respect to the rejection of claim 7 under 35 USC 103(a) using the combination of the Shan and Ohmi references, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Furthermore, the examiner respectfully suggests that the two RF sources will inherently possess different phases and therefore the electron drift as claimed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1763


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 571-272-1430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Luz L. Alejandro
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1763

September 12, 2005